

LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND PATH-TO-CLOSURE PLANS REPORT: As of Oct. 1, 2015

UST case reviews against the UST Low-Threat Case Closure Policy (LTCP) and Path-To-Closure Plans (PTCP) are required to be completed annually. These data report the status of these reviews for the Fiscal Year 2014-2015. All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, and the State Water Resources Control Board are compiled from GeoTracker. The data for the Division of Water Quality were compiled from internal tracking.

Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Low Threat Closure:

| ORGANIZATION NAME | Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP | Case Review for LTCP in Progress | Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met | Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met | Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1) | 113 | 6 | 24 | 13 | 4 |
| SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2) | 316 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 0 |
| CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3) | 53 | 3 | 40 | 29 | 12 |
| LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4) | 715 | 8 | 96 | 31 | 6 |
| CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F) | 142 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 3 |
| CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R) | 71 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S) | 223 | 5 | 47 | 6 | 0 |
| LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T) | 63 | 3 | 5 | 25 | 12 |
| LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7) | 63 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 |
| SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8) | 168 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 |
| SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9) | 67 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| TOTALS | 1,995 | 35 | 253 | 134 | 41 |

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Low Threat Closure:

| ORGANIZATION NAME | Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP | Case Review for LTCP in Progress | Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met | Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met | Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP | 151 | 3 | 15 | 38 | 20 |
| ANAHEIM CITY LOP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EL DORADO COUNTY LOP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP | 50 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 7 |
| MERCED COUNTY LOP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NAPA COUNTY LOP | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| NEVADA COUNTY LOP | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ORANGE COUNTY LOP | 153 | 11 | 31 | 20 | 2 |
| RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP | 32 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 1 |
| SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP | 83 | 9 | 34 | 24 | 16 |
| SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP | 208 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 12 |
| SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP | 29 | 1 | 16 | 20 | 8 |
| SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP | 64 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 |
| SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP | 71 | 0 | 29 | 17 | 1 |
| SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP | 30 | 1 | 31 | 9 | 8 |
| SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP | 61 | 5 | 70 | 59 | 23 |
| SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP | 19 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 |
| SOLANO COUNTY LOP | 29 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| SONOMA COUNTY LOP | 38 | 0 | 53 | 21 | 10 |
| STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP | 14 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 |
| TULARE COUNTY LOP | 29 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 1 |
| VENTURA COUNTY LOP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 1,073 | 36 | 330 | 272 | 112 |

Regional Water Quality Control Boards – Path to Closure Plan

| ORGANIZATION NAME | PTCP Requiredⁱ | PTCP Not Startedⁱⁱ | PTCP in Progressⁱⁱⁱ | PTCP Saved as Final^{iv} | Closure Expected in Next 6 Months^v | Closure Expected by 12/31/2015^{vi} | Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019^{vii} | Closure Expected after 12/31/2019^{viii} |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1) | 133 | 1 | 1 | 131 | 16 | 24 | 31 | 76 |
| SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2) | 309 | 4 | 4 | 301 | 124 | 179 | 84 | 38 |
| CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3) | 91 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 80 | 85 | 1 | 5 |
| LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4) | 786 | 16 | 4 | 766 | 105 | 265 | 459 | 42 |
| CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F) | 156 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 12 | 18 | 75 | 63 |
| CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R) | 67 | 1 | 1 | 65 | 5 | 15 | 28 | 22 |
| CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S) | 272 | 5 | 2 | 265 | 25 | 41 | 107 | 117 |
| LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T) | 68 | 0 | 3 | 65 | 28 | 42 | 4 | 19 |
| LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7) | 62 | 0 | 1 | 61 | 23 | 28 | 13 | 20 |
| SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8) | 173 | 0 | 1 | 172 | 39 | 67 | 65 | 40 |
| SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9) | 67 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 24 | 28 | 35 | 4 |
| SWRCB-DWQ | 67 | 15 | 0 | 52 | 38 | 42 | 10 | 0 |
| UST CLEAN UP FUND | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 2,251 | 42 | 17 | 2,192 | 519 | 834 | 912 | 446 |

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “open” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases who PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/4/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Path to Closure Plan:

| ORGANIZATION NAME | PTCP Required ⁱ | PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ | PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ | PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv} | Closure Expecte d in the Next 6 Months ^v | Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi} | Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii} | Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 ^{viii} |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP | 159 | 0 | 2 | 157 | 33 | 63 | 93 | 1 |
| EL DORADO COUNTY LOP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ANAHEIM CITY LOP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP | 51 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 18 | 43 | 2 | 5 |
| MERCED COUNTY LOP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NAPA COUNTY LOP | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| NEVADA COUNTY LOP | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ORANGE COUNTY LOP | 193 | 0 | 6 | 187 | 41 | 64 | 115 | 8 |
| RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP | 37 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 14 |
| SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP | 124 | 0 | 11 | 113 | 67 | 84 | 18 | 11 |
| SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP | 207 | 1 | 1 | 205 | 48 | 56 | 142 | 7 |
| SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP | 41 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 14 | 16 | 21 | 4 |
| SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP | 69 | 0 | 4 | 65 | 22 | 42 | 21 | 2 |
| SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP | 91 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 26 | 44 | 47 | 0 |
| SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP | 60 | 0 | 8 | 52 | 10 | 13 | 38 | 1 |
| SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP | 133 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 35 | 87 | 46 | 0 |
| SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 26 | 28 | 0 | 2 |
| SOLANO COUNTY LOP | 34 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 10 | 18 | 15 | 0 |
| SONOMA COUNTY LOP | 89 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 16 | 18 | 69 | 2 |
| STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP | 14 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 0 |
| TULARE COUNTY LOP | 36 | 0 | 2 | 34 | 15 | 24 | 4 | 6 |
| VENTURA COUNTY LOP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 1,378 | 2 | 36 | 1,340 | 389 | 615 | 662 | 63 |

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “OPEN” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/5/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

State Water Resources Control Board:

| ORGANIZATION NAME | Closure Ordered by SWRCBⁱ | Regulatory Agencies Plan to Close | Regulatory Agencies Closed |
|--------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND | 141 | 16 | 617 |

| ORGANIZATION NAME | Active Petitions as of 8/17/12 | New Petitions Since 8/17/12 | Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012 | Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012 | Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012 | Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012 | Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012ⁱⁱ | Petitions Resolved ⁱⁱⁱ Since 8/17/2012 | Active Petitions as of Reporting Date |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| DWQ – PETITIONS | 71 | 20 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 31 | 33 | 84 | 7 |

ⁱ Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.

ⁱⁱ Petitions resolved equals the sum of petitions withdrawn by the responsibility party, petitions dismissed by executive director, petitioned cases closed by lead agency, and petitioned cases ordered closed by the Board.

ⁱⁱⁱ The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.